arXiv:1211.7305v1 [math.DG] 30 Nov 2012

A POSITIVE MASS THEOREM IN THE EINSTEIN-GAUSS-BONNET GRAVITY

YUXIN GE, GUOFANG WANG, AND JIE WU

Abstract. As an interesting application of the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory and our work on the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass [17], we obtain a positive mass theorem for asymptotically flat graphs in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ under a condition that $R+\alpha L_2$ is non-negative, where R is the scalar curvature, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ a constant and L_2 the second Gauss-Bonnet curvature. A Penrose type inequality is also obtained in the case $\alpha > 0$.

1. Introduction

Let us consider the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory, which recently attracts much attention of physicists. In this theory one considers the following action

$$R + \Lambda + \alpha L_2(g) \tag{1.1}$$

on n-dimensional manifolds with $n \geq 4$. Here, R is the scalar curvature, Λ the cosmological constant, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ a constant and $L_2(g)$ the second Gauss-Bonnet curvature, which is defined by

$$L_2(g) = |Riem|^2 - 4|Ric|^2 + R^2,$$

where $Riem$ is the Riemann curvature tensor and Ric the Ricci tensor of g respectively. In contrast, if one considers only the term L_2, it is called the pure Gauss-Bonnet, or the pure Lovelock gravity in physics. There are a lot of work on this Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, which was initiated by the work of Boulware, Deser, Wheeler [6], [35]. A mass for (1.1) was introduced by Deser-Tekin [14] and [15]. See also [13], [27], [8] and [9] for pure Lovelock gravity and references therein. Especially, there are also Schwarzschild type solutions, see below.

A complete manifold $(\mathcal{M}^n, g)$ is said to be an asymptotically flat (AF) of order τ (with one end) if there is a compact K such that $\mathcal{M} \setminus K$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r(0)$ for some $r > 0$ and in the standard coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the metric g has the following expansion

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \sigma_{ij}$$

with

$$|\sigma_{ij}| + r|\partial \sigma_{ij}| + r^2|\partial^2 \sigma_{ij}| = O(r^{-\tau}),$$

where r and ∂ denote the Euclidean distance and the standard derivative operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ respectively. If we further assume that $\tau > \frac{n-2}{2}$ and the scalar curvature R is integrable in $(\mathcal{M}^n, g)$, the ADM mass, introduced by by Arnowitt, Deser, and Misner [1], is defined by

$$m_1(g) := m_{ADM} := \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{S_r} (g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j})\nu_j dS, \tag{1.2}$$

The project is partly supported by SFB/TR71 "Geometric partial differential equations" of DFG.

where ω_{n-1} is the volume of $(n-1)$-dimensional standard unit sphere and S_r is the Euclidean coordinate sphere, dS is the area element on S_r induced by the Euclidean metric, ν is the outward unit normal to S_r in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the derivative is the ordinary partial derivative. Following this idea, a mass for the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet can be defined by

$$m_{EGB} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{S_r} \{(g_{ij,j} - g_{jj,i}) + 2\alpha P^{ijkl} g_{jk,l}\}\nu_i dS, \tag{1.3}$$

where the tensor P is the divergence-free part of the Riemann curvature tensor $Riem$, which was used in our paper (see Definition 1.1 of [17]). See the work of Deser-Tekin [14] and [15].

As the ADM mass, we can follow the idea of Bartnik [2] to show that this mass is well-defined and is a geometric invariant, if $R + \alpha L_2$ is integrable and if the decay order τ satisfies

$$\tau > \frac{n-2}{2}. \tag{1.4}$$

Motivated by the recent work of Lam [21] and also by our work [17], we can show a positive mass theorem and a Penrose inequality for this mass under the condition

$$R + \alpha L_2 \geq 0, \tag{1.5}$$

if the asymptotically flat manifold is a graph in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ for any $n \geq 4$. See Theorem 2.4 and Thereom 2.6 below.

Now we have a simple, but interesting observation. The well-definedness of m_{GBE} requires that the decay order should satisfy (1.4). However, this decay order makes the second term in the definition of the mass vanishing. Therefore, under this decay order, we have

$$m_{EGB} = m_{ADM}.$$

Hence, we in fact obtain a positive mass theorem and a Penrose inequality for the AMD mass under a different condition (1.5).

The positive mass theorem, *any asymptotically flat Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M}^n$ with a suitable decay order and with nonnegative scalar curvature $R \geq 0$ has the nonnegative ADM mass, i.e.,* $m_{ADM} \geq 0$, plays an important role in differential geometry. This theorem was proved by Schoen and Yau [31] for manifolds of dimension $n \leq 7$ by using a minimal hypersurface argument and by Witten [36] for any spin manifolds. For locally conformally flat manifolds the proof was given in [32] using the developing map. See also [24] and [22]. Very recently, the PMT was proved for all asymptotically flat Riemannian manifolds $\mathcal{M}^n$ which are represented by a graph in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by Lam [21]. For general higher dimensional manifolds, the proof of the positive mass theorem was announced by Lohkamp [23] by an argument extending the minimal hypersurface argument of Schoen and Yau and by Schoen in [30]. A refinement of the PMT is the Riemannian Penrose inequality (PI)

$$m_{ADM} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}$$

for asymptotically flat Riemannian manifolds with area-minimizing horizons Σ and with nonnegative $R \geq 0$. The Penrose inequality is proved by Huisken-Ilmanen [20] and Bray [4] for $n = 3$ and by Bray and Lee [3] for $n \leq 7$. See also the work of Lam for asymptotically flat graphs in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and its generalizations [12] and [19]. For the Riemannian Penrose inequality see the excellent surveys of Bray [5] and Mars [25].

The similar idea presented here works for the ADM mass for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds, which was studied by Wang [34], Chruściel-Herzlich [10] and Zhang [37].

2. Positive mass theorem and Penrose inequality

Let

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{4}\delta^{i_1i_2i_3i_4}_{j_1j_2j_3j_4}R^{j_1j_2}{}_{i_1i_2}R^{j_3j_4}{}_{i_3i_4} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2.$$

L_2 is called the Gauss-Bonnet term in physics or the Lovelock tensor. For more details and the general Gauss-Bonnet curvature L_k $(k \leq n/2)$, see for example [17]. Our results can be generalized to L_k, precisely, to $\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j L_j$. The Gauss-Bonnet curvature has the following decomposition

$$L_2 = R_{ijkl}P^{ijkl},$$

where

$$P^{ijkl} = R^{ijkl} + R^{jk}g^{il} - R^{jl}g^{ik} - R^{ik}g^{jl} + R^{il}g^{jk} + \frac{1}{2}R(g^{ik}g^{jl} - g^{il}g^{jk}). \tag{2.1}$$

The tensor P is in fact the divergence-free part of the Riamann curvature tensor, namely P satisfies

$$\nabla_i P^{ijkl} = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

and shares the same symmetry and antisymmetry with the Riemann curvature tensor *Riem*. See [11] or [17].

Definition 2.1 (Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Mass)**.** *Let $n \geq 4$. Suppose $(\mathcal{M}^n, g)$ is an asymptotically flat manifold of decay order*

$$\tau > \frac{n-2}{2},$$

and $R + \alpha L_2$ is integrable in $(\mathcal{M}^n, g)$. We define the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet mass-energy by

$$m_{EGB} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{S_r} \{(g_{ij,j} - g_{jj,i}) + 2\alpha P^{ijkl} g_{jk,l}\}\nu_i dS, \tag{2.3}$$

where ν is the outward unit normal to S_r, dS is the area element of S_r and the tensor P is defined as in (2.1).

See also [14], [15].

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}^n, g)$ $(n \geq 4)$ is an asymptotically flat manifold of decay order $\tau > \frac{n-2}{2}$ and $R + \alpha L_2$ is integrable in $(\mathcal{M}^n, g)$. Then the Gauss-Bonnet-Einstein mass m_{GBE} is well-defined and does not depend the choice of the asymptotic coordinates used in Definition 2.1.*

Proof. Let

$$P_1{}^{ijlm} = \frac{1}{2}(g^{il}g^{jm} - g^{im}g^{jl}). \tag{2.4}$$

It is easy to see that

$$m_{EGB} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{S_r} \{(2P_1^{ijkl} + 2\alpha P^{ijkl})g_{jk,l}\}\nu_i dS, \tag{2.5}$$

It is clear that $2P_1^{ijkl}+2\alpha P^{ijkl}$ has the same symmetry as the Riemann curvature tensor and satisfies (2.2). With the crucial property (2.2) one can show the welldefinedness of m_{EGB} and its geometric invariance by using the work of Bartnik [2]. Here we skip the proof. See also [17]. $\square$

With a general setting given by Michel [26], one can also provide a proof. For this setting see also [10] and [18].

We can not yet show the positive mass theorem for the mass m_{GBC} for general asymptotically flat manifolds. However, we can prove it for a special, but interesting class, which was first considered by Lam in [21]. Following his definition, we define

Definition 2.3. *Let $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function and let f_i, f_{ij} and f_{ijk} denote the first, the second and the third derivative of f. f is called* asymptotically flat of order τ *if*

$$f_i(x)=O(|x|^{-\tau/2}),$$
$$|x||f_{ij}(x)|+|x|^2|f_{ijk}(x)|=O(|x|^{-\tau/2}),$$

at infinity for some $\tau>(n-2)/2$.

Now we can state our positive mass theorem for graphs over $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 2.4 (Positve Mass Theorem)**.** *Let $(\mathcal{M}^n,g)=(\mathbb{R}^n,\delta+df\otimes df)$ be the graph of a smooth asymptotically flat function $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$. Then we have*

$$m_{ADM}=m_{EGB}=\frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}}\int_{\mathcal{M}^n}\Big(R+\alpha L_2\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_\delta f|^2}}dV_g, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\alpha\geq 0$ is a constant. Particularly,

$$R+\alpha L_2\geq 0 \tag{2.7}$$

yields

$$m_{ADM}\geq 0.$$

Proof. Observe first that the decay condition $\tau>\frac{n-2}{2}$ implies

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{S_r}P^{ijkl}g_{jk,l}\nu_i dS=\lim_{r\to\infty}O(r^{-2\tau-4+n})=0,$$

since $\frac{n-2}{2}>\frac{n-4}{2}$. Therefore, under the decay order, we have

$$m_{ADM}=m_{EGB}.$$

The rest of the proof follows straightly from Theorem 5 in [21] and Theorem 1.4 in [17]. For the convenience of the reader, we sketch the main steps. First is the key observation in Lam's paper that the scalar curvature R has a divergence form for graphical hypersurfaces. Precisely, the scalar curvature R of the graph $(\mathbb{R}^n,g=\delta+df\otimes df)$ satisfies (see Section 7 in [17] or see Lemma 10 in [21])

$$\frac{1}{2}R=\partial_i(P_1^{ijkl}\partial_l g_{jk}), \tag{2.8}$$

where P_1 is defined in (2.4). In [17], we have generalized (2.8) and derived a divergence form for the general k-th Gauss-Bonnet curvature. In particular, we have (see Lemma 4.3 in [17])

$$\partial_i(P^{ijkl}\partial_l g_{jk}) = \frac{1}{2}L_2. \tag{2.9}$$

Then by using the equivalent form (2.5) of the EGB mass and applying the divergence theorem in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \delta)$ together with (2.8), (2.9), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} m_{EGB} &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{S_r} \{(2P_1^{ijkl} + 2\alpha P^{ijkl})g_{jk,l}\}\nu_i dS \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\mathcal{M}^n} \partial_i((2P_1^{ijkl} + 2\alpha P^{ijkl})g_{jk,l})dV_\delta \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\mathcal{M}^n} (R + \alpha L_2)dV_\delta \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\mathcal{M}^n} (R + \alpha L_2)\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla f|^2}}dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds due to the fact

$$dV_g = \sqrt{\det g}dV_\delta = \sqrt{1+|\nabla f|^2}dV_\delta.$$

$\square$

Remark 2.5. *It is easy to construct metrics satisfying (2.7) with negative R somewhere.*

More interesting is that we also have a Penrose type inequality under condition (2.7), at least for the graphs.

Theorem 2.6. *Let Ω be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$. If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth asymptotically flat function such that each connected component of $f(\Sigma)$ is in a level set of f and $|\nabla f(x)| \to \infty$ as $x \to \Sigma$. Let H and H_3 denote the mean curvature and 3-th mean curvature of Σ induced by Euclidean metric respectively. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} m_{ADM} &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\mathcal{M}^n} \Big(R + \alpha L_2\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_\delta f|^2}}dV_g \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \int_\Sigma \Big(H + 2\alpha \cdot 3H_3\Big)dS. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

If we assume further that Σ is convex and $\alpha \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{ADM} &\geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\mathcal{M}^n} \Big(R + \alpha L_2\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_\delta f|^2}}dV_g \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}}\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(n-2)(n-3)\Big(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}}\Big)^{\frac{n-4}{n-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

In particular, $R + \alpha L_2 \geq 0$ implies

$$m_{ADM} \geq \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}}\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(n-2)(n-3)\Big(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}}\Big)^{\frac{n-4}{n-1}}.$$

Proof. In view of (2.8) and (2.9), we apply the divergence theorem and get an extra boundary integral. By a long, but direct computation, one can show that this boundary term is

$$\frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}}\int_\Sigma \Big(H+2\alpha\cdot 3H_3\Big)dS.$$

For the proof of (2.10), the H part comes from the boundary term of the scalar curvature and H_3 part from the boundary term of L_2 curvature. The second statement follows from the standard Alekasndrov-Fenchel inequality [29]. We omit the proof here. For more details, see [21] and [17]. □

Remark 2.7. *By the work of Guan-Li* [16] *one can reduce the assumption of convexity of* Σ *to the assumption that* Σ *is of star-shape,* $H>0$*,* $R>0$ *and* H_3 *is non-negative. See also the related work of* [7]*.*

The Penrose type inequality is optimal in the following Schwarzschild-type metric (See [6] and [8])

$$g=\left(1+\frac{r^2}{\tilde\alpha}\left\{1-\sqrt{1+\frac{4\tilde\alpha m}{r^n}}\right\}\right)^{-1}dr^2+r^2d\Theta^2, \tag{2.12}$$

where $\tilde\alpha=2(n-2)(n-3)\alpha$ and $d\Theta^2$ is the standard metric in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. This metric can be represented as a graph which satisfies all conditions in Theorem 2.6. Its horizon is the surface $r=r_0$ with r_0 being the solution of

$$1+\frac{r^2}{\tilde\alpha}\left(1-\sqrt{1+\frac{4\tilde\alpha m}{r^n}}\right)=0,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \frac12 r_0^{n-2}+\frac{\tilde\alpha}{4}r_0^{n-4}\\ &= \frac12\left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}+\frac{\alpha}{2}(n-2)(n-3)\left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-4}{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

Proposition 2.8. *The EGB mass of the above metric is equal to* m*, i.e.,*

$$m_{EGB}=m_{ADM}=m=\frac12\left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}+\frac{\alpha}{2}(n-2)(n-3)\left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-4}{n-1}}.$$

Proof. By a reduction, the metric (2.12) becomes

$$g=\left(1-\frac{2m}{r^{n-2}}\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4\tilde\alpha m}{r^n}}}\right)^{-1}dr^2+r^2d\Theta^2,$$

which is an asymptotically flat metric with decay order $\tau = n-2$. Thus $m_{EGB}(g) = m_{ADM}(g)$. Compared with the ordinary Schwarzschild solution

$$g_{\text{Sch}} = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r^{n-2}}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Theta^2, \tag{2.13}$$

the difference

$$g - g_{Sch} = O(r^{-2n-2}),$$

decays enough fast and hence it does not contribute to the ADM mass. Therefore we have

$$m_{ADM}(g) = m_{ADM}(g_{\text{Sch}}) = m,$$

which follows from the fact that the ADM mass of the Schwarzschild metric (2.13) is exactly m. One can certainly compute it directly. One can check that the metric (2.12) has $R + \alpha L_2 = 0$. In fact this metric is a black hole solution in the Einstein Gauss-Bonnet theory, see [6] and [8]. Thus the proof is completed from Theorem 2.6. □

Therefore, the Penrose inequalities in Theorem 2.6 are optimal.

In the proof of the Penrose inequality we have used the classical Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality [29]. For non-convex domains, there are generalizations in [16] and [7].

It is an interesting problem if the rigidity results for Theorem 2.4 and for Theorem 2.6 hold. Moreover, we conjecture that

Conjecture. Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.6 hold for general asymptotically flat manifolds.

Appendix A. Computation of scalar curvature of metric (2.12)

In general, we compute the scalar curvature of the general metric

$$g = f(r)^2 dr^2 + r^2 d\Theta^2.$$

Transform it into the warped product metric:

$$g = d\rho^2 + \varphi^2(\rho) d\Theta^2,$$

with scalar curvature (see [28] for example)

$$R(g) = -2(n-1)\frac{\varphi''(\rho)}{\varphi} + (n-1)(n-2)\frac{1-\varphi'^2(\rho)}{\varphi^2}. \tag{A.1}$$

Comparing the two metrics, one obtains $f(r)dr = d\rho$ and $r = \varphi(\rho)$ which implies

$$\varphi'(\rho) = \frac{1}{f(r)}, \tag{A.2}$$

and

$$\varphi''(\rho) = -\frac{f'(r)}{f^3(r)}. \tag{A.3}$$

Thus by (A.1),(A.2),(A.3), we have

$$R(g)=-2(n-1)\Big[-\frac{f'(r)}{f^3(r)}\frac{1}{r}\Big]+(n-1)(n-2)\frac{1-\frac{1}{f^2(r)}}{r^2}.$$

Especially, in our example (2.12),

$$f(r)=\Bigg(1-\frac{2m}{r^{n-2}}\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}m}{r^n}}}\Bigg)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

which yields

$$f'(r)=-\frac{1}{2}f(r)^3\Bigg[(n-2)\frac{2m}{r^{n-1}}\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}m}{r^n}}}-\frac{2m}{r^{n-2}}\frac{n}{(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}m}{r^n}})^2}\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}m}{r^{n+1}\sqrt{1+\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}m}{r^n}}}\Bigg].$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}R(g) &= -(n-1)\Bigg[(n-2)\frac{2m}{r^{n}}\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}m}{r^n}}}-\frac{2m}{r^{2n}}\frac{n}{(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}m}{r^n}})^2}\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}m}{\sqrt{1+\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}m}{r^n}}}\Bigg]\\ &\quad +(n-1)(n-2)\frac{2m}{r^n}\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}m}{r^n}}}\\ &= \frac{8n(n-1)\tilde{\alpha}m^2}{r^{2n}\big(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}m}{r^n}}\big)^2\sqrt{1+\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}m}{r^n}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall $\tilde{\alpha}=2(n-2)(n-3)\alpha$, hence $R>0$ if $\alpha>0$ and $R<0$ if $\alpha<0$.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C. W. Misner, *Coordinate invariance and energy expressions in general relativity,* Phys. Rev. (2) **122** (1961), 997–1006, 1961.

[2] R. Bartnik, *The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **34** (1986) 661–693.

[3] H. L. Bray and D. A. Lee, *On the Riemannian Penrose inequality in dimensions less than eight*, Duke Math. J. **148** (2009), no. 1, 81–106.

[4] H. L. Bray, *Proof of the Riemannian Penrose inequality using the positive mass theorem*, J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), no. 2, 177-267.

[5] H. L. Bray, *On the positive mass, Penrose, an ZAS inequalities in general dimension*, Surveys in Geometric Analysis and Relativity, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 20, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.

[6] D. G. Boulware, S Deser, Physical Review Letters, **55** (1985) 2656

[7] S.-Y. A. Chang and Y.Wang, *On Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequalities for k-convex domains,* Milan J. Math., **79** (2011), no. 1, 13–38.

[8] R. G. Cai, *Gauss-Bonnet black holes in AdS spaces,* Phys. Rev. D 65, 084014 (2002)

[9] J. Crisóstomo, R. Troncoso, J. Zanelli, *Black hole scan,* Phys. Rev. D, (3) **62** (2000), no.8, 084013.

[10] P. Chruściel, M. Herzlich, *The mass of asymptotically hyperbolic Riemannian manifolds,* Pacific J. Math. **212** (2003), no. 2, 231–264.

[11] S. Davis, *Generalized Israel junction conditions for a Gauss-Bonnet brane world,* Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003) 024030.

[12] L. L. de Lima, F. Girão, *The ADM mass of asymptotically flat hypersurfaces,* arXiv:1108.5474, to appear in Trans. AMS.

[13] N. Deruelle and J. Madore, *On the quasi-linearity of the Einstein Gauss-Bonnet gravity field equations*, (2003) (Preprint gr-qc/0305004).

[14] S. Deser, B. Tekin, *Gravitational energy in quadratic-curvature gravities Physical review letters,* **89** (2002) 101101.

[15] S. Deser, B. Tekin, *Energy in generic higher curvature gravity theories*, Physical Review D, **75** (2003) 084032.

[16] P. Guan and J. Li, *The quermassintegral inequalities for k-convex starshaped domains*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 5, 1725–1732.

[17] Y. Ge, G. Wang, J. Wu, *A new mass for asymptotically flat manifolds,* arXiv:1211.3645.

[18] M. Herzlich, *Mass formulae for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds,* in AdS/CFT correspondence: Einstein metrics and their conformal boundaries, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., Vol. **8** Eur. Math. Soc., Zrich, (2005) 103–121.

[19] L.-H. Huang and D. Wu, *Hypersurfaces with nonnegative scalar curvature*, *arXiv:1102.5749*

[20] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen, *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality*, J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), no. 3, 353–437.

[21] M.-K. G.Lam, *The graph cases of the Riemannian positive mass and Penrose inequality in all dimesions*, **arXiv.org/1010.4256**.

[22] J. Lohkamp, *Scalar curvature and hammocks*, Math. Ann. **313** (1999), 385–407.

[23] J. Lohkamp, *The Higher Dimensional Positive Mass Theorem I*, **arXiv:0608795v1**.

[24] J. Lee and T. Parker, *The Yamabe problem*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc., **17** (1987), 37–91.

[25] M. Mars. *Topical review: Present status of the Penrose inequality,* Classical and Quantum Gravity, 26(19):193001, Oct. (2009).

[26] B. Michel, *Geometric invariance of mass-like asymptotic invariants,* J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011), no. 5. 052504.

[27] A. Padilla, *Surfaces terms and the Gauss-Bonnet Hamiltonian,* Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 3129.

[28] P. Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry,* Springer Verlag GTM 171 (1997).

[29] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1993).

[30] R. Schoen, *Conformal deformation of a Riemmannian metric to constant scalar curvature*, J.Diff. Geom.20, 479-495, (1984).

[31] R. Schoen, S. T. Yau, *On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity,* Comm. Math. Phys. **65** (1979), 45-76.

[32] R. Schoen, S. T. Yau, *Conformally flat manifolds, Kleinian groups and scalar curvature*, Invent. math., **92** (1988),47–71.

[33] R. Schoen, S. T. Yau, *The energy and the linear momentum of space-times in general relativity.* Comm. Math. Phys. **79** (1981), 47-51.

[34] X. Wang, *Mass for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds,* J. Differential Geom., **57** (2001), 273–299.

[35] Wheeler, *Symmetric solutions to the maximally Gauss-Bonnet extended Einstein equations*, Nucl. Phys,. B **268** (1986) 737.

[36] E. Witten, *A new proof of the positive energy theorem,* Comm. Math. Phys., **80** (1981) 381-402.

[37] X. Zhang, *A definition of total energy-momenta and the positive mass theorem on asymptotically hyperbolic 3-manifolds, I.* Comm. Math. Phys. **249** (2004), no. 3, 529–548.

LABORATOIRE D'ANALYSE ET DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES, CNRS UMR 8050, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS EST-CRÉTEIL VAL DE MARNE,, 61 AVENUE DU GÉNÉRAL DE GAULLE, 94010 CRÉTEIL CEDEX, FRANCE
E-mail address: `ge@u-pec.fr`

ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT ECKERSTR. 1 D-79104 FREIBURG
E-mail address: `guofang.wang@math.uni-freiburg.de`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA HEFEI 230026, P. R. CHINA AND ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT ECKERSTR. 1 D-79104 FREIBURG
E-mail address: `jie.wu@math.uni-freiburg.de`